

Pupil Accommodation Committee (PAC) Working Group Meeting #3

January 21, 2009

SIMCOE MUSKOKA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

Rooted in Faith, Hope and Love



SCHOOL VALUATION FRAMEWORK (SVF)

Process for Completion of School Valuation Reports:

- Representatives for each school to compile a Valuation Report based on input from all representatives for their own school
- 2. Presentation by school group to PAC of each school's summarized Valuation Report (electronic & hard copies to be provided to Chair in advance)
- 3. Representatives of each school will review all other schools Valuation Reports for proposed additions/changes.
- 4. Proposed Valuation Reports are presented to PAC for finalization

SIMCOE MUSKOKA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

- 5. Draft School-specific Valuation Reports presented at Public Meeting (2nd Public Meeting)
- 6. Final School Valuation Report, along with recommendations presented at Public Meeting (4th Public meeting)



HISTORICAL & PROJECTED ENROLMENT ENTIRE REVIEW AREA

	Revie	ew Area: (CE04								
 Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Current	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5	Year 10
2001/	2002/	2003/	2004/	2005/	2006/	2007/	2008/	2009/	2011/	2013/	2018/
 2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2012	2014	2019
1,378	1,376	1,379	1,307	1,275	1,212	1,207	1,193	1,156	1,203	1,246	1,323

Simcoe Muskoka Catholic District School Board 10 Year Enrolment Projections: Elementary Panel CE04: Orillia, Ramara, Severn and part Oro-Medonte

Existing Community + New Development (including Full Day Learning 4 & 5)





HISTORICAL & PROJECTED ENROLMENT FOLEY

	Foley Cat	tholic Sch	ool (JK-8)								
Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Current	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5	Year 10
2001 /	2002/	2003/	2004/	2005 /	2006 /	2007/	2008/	2009/	2011/	2013/	2018/
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2012	2014	2019
102	95	86	88	80	76	73	58	57	68	77	86





HISTORICAL & PROJECTED ENROLMENT GUARDIAN ANGELS

(Guardian <i>i</i>	Angels Sc	hool (JK-4	1)							
Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Current	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5	Year 10
2001 /	2002/	2003/	2004/	2005 /	2006 /	2007/	2008/	2009/	2011 /	2013/	2018/
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2012	2014	2019
151	135	125	101	97	99	90	81	7 3	81	85	85





HISTORICAL & PROJECTED ENROLMENT MONSIGNOR LEE

	Monsigno	or Lee Sch	iool (JK-8)								
Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Current	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5	Year 10
2001/	2002/	2003/	2004/	2005 /	2006 /	2007/	2008/	2009/	2011/	2013/	2018/
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2012	2014	2019
396	410	397	344	332	300	306	295	289	306	307	302





HISTORICAL & PROJECTED ENROLMENT NOTRE DAME

	Notre Da	ame Scho	ol (JK-8)								
Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Current	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5	Year 10
2001/	2002/	2003/	2004/	2005/	2006 /	2007/	2008/	2009/	2011/	2013/	2018/
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2012	2014	2019
425	421	454	468	469	459	463	480	460	473	511	564





HISTORICAL & PROJECTED ENROLMENT ST. BERNARD'S

	St. Berna	ards Scho	od (JK-8)								
Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Hist	Current	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5	Year 10
2001/	2002/	2003/	2004/	2005 /	2006 /	2007/	2008/	2009/	2011 /	2013/	2018/
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2012	2014	2019
304	315	317	306	297	278	275	279	277	275	266	286





ALTERNATE ACCOMMODATION PLAN STRATEGIES

- •Section 2.7 of our Pupil Accommodation Review Policy LE-14 identifies the requirement to provide Alternate Accommodation Plans to the PAC prior to the first PAR Public Meeting
- The Alternate Accommodation Plans should include;
 - Suggestions as to where the students could be accommodated
 - What changes to existing facilities might be required
 - What programs could be available to the students
 - Any associated transportation requirements





•OPTION 1: STATUS QUO

•OPTION 2: REPLACEMENT/REBUILD

•OPTION 3: RETROFIT

•OPTION 4: CONSOLIDATE, CLOSE & REPLACE

•OPTION 5: BOUNDARY OPTIMIZATION





•OPTION 1: STATUS QUO

SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295		
GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81		
SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279	Status Quo for all 5 schools	Status Quo for all 5 schools
NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480		
FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58		



•OPTION 2A: REPLACEMENT/REBUILD EACH OF MLE, GAN, SBE

SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295	Replacement (Rebuild) of; MLE (187 pp) school at existing site	students accommodated in
GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81	Replacement (Rebuild) of; GAN (178 pp) school at existing site	replacement facility; no change in academic programming; no change in transportation
SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279	Replacement (Rebuild) of; SBE (213 pp) school at existing site	requirements
NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480	status quo	status quo
FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58	status quo	Status quo



•OPTION 2B: REPLACEMENT/REBUILD ANY COMBINATION OF THE 3 SCHOOLS

SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295		students accommodated in
GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81		replacement facility; no change in academic programming; no change in transportation
					requirem ents
SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279		
NOD	U/ C - 0	222	400	status quo	
NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480		status quo
FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58	status quo	





•OPTION 3A: RETROFIT EACH OF MLE, GAN, SBE

SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295	Retrofit of MLE using Good Places to Learn Grants (GPTL)	no change in student accommodation (existing
GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81	Retrofit of GAN using Good Places to Learn Grants (GPTL)	academic programming; no change in transportation
SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279	Retrofit of SBE using Good Places to Learn Grants (GPTL)	requirements
NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480	status quo	status quo
FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58	status quo	Status quo





•OPTION 3B: RETROFIT ANY COMBINATION OF THE 3 SCHOOLS

	SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
						no change in student
ļ	MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295		accommodation (existing
	GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81		facility); no change in academic programming; no change in transportation
	SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279		requirements
	NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480	status quo	status quo
	FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58	status quo	siaius quo





•OPTION 4A: CONSOLIDATE, CLOSE & REPLACE EACH OF MLE & GAN

SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295	Partial Replacement of MLE (187 pp), GAN students move to MLE (178 pp)	students accommodated in MLE Consolicdated facility; GAN closed to students; consistency in JK-8
GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81	Close school	programming within Orillia Catholic schools; similar transportation
SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279	Retrofit of SBE using Good Places to Learn Grants (GPTL)	requirements, just change in final destination for GAN students
NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480	status quo	status quo
FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58	status quo	Status quo





•OPTION 4B: CONSOLIDATE, CLOSE & REPLACE EACH OF GAN & SBE

SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295	Retrofit of MLE using Good Places to Learn Grants (GPTL)	students accommodated in SBE Consolicdated facility; GAN closed to students; consistency in JK-8
GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81	Close school	programming within Orillia Catholic schools; change in transportation requirments
SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279	Replacement of SBE (213 pp), GAN students move to SBE (178 pp)	for GAN students to SBE
NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480	status quo	status quo
FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58	status quo	



•OPTION 4C: CONSOLIDATE, CLOSE & REPLACE ANY COMBINATION OF THE 3 SCHOOLS

SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295		students accommodated in Consolicdated facility; 1 or
GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81	Consolidate all 3 schools to 1 site	more schools closed; consistency in JK-8 programming within Orillia Catholic schools; change in
SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279		transportation requirements
NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480	status quo	status quo
uc FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58	status quo	

DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD



•OPTION 5: BOUNDARY OPTIMIZATION

SCHOOL	ACADEMIC PROGRAM	SCHOOL CAPACITY	ENROLMENT (Oct. '08 Bodies)	OPTION EXAMPLES	OPTION SUGGESTIONS
MLE	JK - Gr.8	187	295	Boundary change to revert students away from the school	no change in student accommodation (existing facility); no change in academic programming; major change in transportation requirements
GAN	JK - Gr.4	178	81	Boundary change to revert students to the school	
SBE	JK - Gr.8	213	279	boundary change as required?	
NOD	JK - Gr.8	389	480	Boundary change to revert students away from the school	
FOL	JK - Gr.8	112	58	Boundary change to revert students to the school	





NEXT STEPS

1. Additional Data

SIMCOE MUSKOKA CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

- 2. Next Meeting: Public Meeting Monday, February 9, 2009 @ 7pm Monsignor Lee
 - Co-ordination for Presentation
- 3. Applying the School Valuation Framework
 - Review Customized and new formatted GSVF
 - 2. Applying the GSVF in School Break-Out Groups